

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,225	OGASAWARA ET AL.	
	Examiner TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	Art Unit 1793	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS. (3) _____.
 (2) CHRISTOPHER BRODY. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Proposed amendment to Claims 1, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16 and 18.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Winter US 2,980,112.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agrees that Winter does not teach that CaCl2 results from the electrolysis step as shown in applicant's Figure 5. Such a limitation would require new consideration and/or search, applicant will consider filing an RCE.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/TIMA M MCGUTHRY-BANKS/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793	
--	--